arXiv:1607.00426v2 [math.RT] 7 Jul 2016

ON THE QUIVER AND KOSZULITY OF THE CATEGORY OF INJECTIONS BETWEEN FINITE SETS

BRENDAN DUBSKY

Abstract. We describe the Gabriel quiver with defining relations of the category of injections between finite sets, show that it is quadratic self-dual, and construct linear resolutions for its simple modules. While most of the results are to be found in previous literature, the approach used in the proofs seems to be novel.

1. Introduction

The present paper studies the representation theory of the category of injections between finite sets.

1.1. **The category of injections between finite sets.** To be precise, we consider the category $\mathscr{I}$ with objects $\underline{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where $\underline{0} = \varnothing$ and otherwise $\underline{n} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and whose morphisms are injections between these sets. Note that $\mathscr{I}$ is indeed equivalent to the category of injections between all finite sets, so that for the purposes of the present paper we could as well have defined $\mathscr{I}$ as this latter category.

Each endomorphism semigroup of $\mathscr{I}$ is isomorphic to some symmetric group S_n, a fact upon which the greater part of the results of the present paper relies.

We obtain a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-grading of $\mathscr{I}$ (and hence of the linearized category $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$) by letting the degree of an injection $i \in \mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})$ be m.

1.2. **Previous work.** The representation theory of the category of injections between finite sets has been studied in papers [CEF15] and [CEFN14] by Church, Ellenberg, Farb and (in the second paper) Nagpal, where the authors exhibit several examples of how modules over this category arise in applications.

The Gabriel quiver (without relations) of the linearized category $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ was described already by Brimacombe [Br11], and later in the much more general setting of rectangular monoids by Margolis and Steinberg [MS12]. Their approach was used by Stein in [St16] to describe the Gabriel quiver of the category of surjections between finite sets as well as related categories.

Originating with papers such as [Pr70] of Priddy and [BGS96] of Beilinson, Ginzburg and Soergel, the theory of Koszul algebras was in [MOS09] by Mazorchuk, Ovsienko and Stroppel extended to the setting of (positively graded) categories. In [GL16] Gan and Li show the Koszulity of a wide class of categories,

including that of $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ with respect to the grading described in Subsection 1.1 (they do not, however, provide explicit linear resolutions).

After publication of the original version of the manuscript on arxiv, it was brought to the author's attention that the main results appear (somewhat in disguise) in [SS16], where they are formulated in a different setup and proved by different methods.

1.3. **Main results.** For our first main result, we show in Theorem 1 of Section 3 that the quiver $\mathcal{C}$ of the linearized category $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ is the Young lattice modulo the relations that 2 nodes added to the same column yields 0.

For our second main result, we in Theorem 6 of Section 4 show that $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$ is quadratic self-dual.

Finally, in Theorem 8 of Section 4 construct linear resolutions of the simple $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$-modules.

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to his advisor Volodymyr Mazorchuk for many valuable insights and patient guidance during the project that resulted in the present paper.

The author would also like to thank Steven Sam for pointing out that the main results of this paper had already been derived by him and Snowden using different methods (cf. [SS16]).

2. Notation

In this section we collect notation that will be used throughout the paper.

2.1. **Miscellaneous.** We write $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ for the set of non-negative integers.

For a function f, we by $\mathrm{dom}(f)$ denote the domain of f, and by $\mathrm{im}(f)$ the image of f.

Any tensor products not specified via subscripts will be assumed to be taken over $\mathbb{C}$.

For a finite-dimensional complex vector space V, we denote by $V^* = \mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$ the usual dual vector space. If $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V, then the $v_i^* \in V^*$ defined by

$$v_i^*(v_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

constitute a basis for V^*.

2.2. **The symmetric group.** For a finite set A we denote by S_A the symmetric group of permutations of the elements of A. In the special case $A = \underline{n}$ for $n \in Z_{\geq 0}$, we simply write S_n instead of $S_{\underline{n}}$. For a subset $B \subset A$ we will often identify S_B with the subgroup of S_A consisting of all $\pi \in S_A$ such that $\pi_{|A\setminus B} = \mathrm{id}$, the

identity function. A similar identification of group algebras will be done for $\mathbb{C}[S_B]$ as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[S_A]$.

By the (possibly decorated) letters λ, μ, ν we will denote Young diagrams, i.e. arrangements of left-justified rows of nodes (drawn as square "boxes"), where the length of the rows are weakly decreasing, and $\lambda \vdash n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ means that λ consists of n nodes in total, with the convention that $\varnothing \vdash 0$. We write $\lambda = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$ if λ has n_i nodes in its i:th row (and no nodes in rows $> k$). By μ^T we mean the transposed diagram, which has each row of μ as a column, and vice versa.

If it is possible to adjoin a new node to a Young diagram and thereby again obtain a Young diagram, we say that this node is *addable*. Whenever we speak of adding a node to a Young diagram, the node is implicitly understood to be addable. We will call a quadruple $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$ a *diamond* if λ_2 and λ_3 are different and can be obtained from λ_1 by adding one node, and λ_4 can be obtained from λ_2 and λ_3 by adding one node. We write $\mu \to \lambda$ if λ can be obtained from μ by adding nodes, and $\mu \xrightarrow{2} \lambda$ if λ can obtained from μ by adding nodes, at least two of which to the same column.

We use t_λ to denote a Young tableau of shape $\lambda \vdash n$, i.e. an object obtained by entering the numbers $1, \ldots, n$ into the nodes of λ. Tableaux whose entries increase along rows and columns are called standard, and by $\mathrm{SYT}(\lambda)$ we denote the set of all standard tableaux of shape λ. By t^1_μ we denote the element of $\mathrm{SYT}(\mu)$ obtained by by entering the numbers $1, \ldots, n$ into μ from top to bottom and from left to right (actually, any fixed element of $\mathrm{SYT}(\mu)$ would do for our purposes).

By S^λ we denote the Specht module corresponding to λ. See Subsection 3.2 for a more detailed discussion.

2.3. **Modules over categories and categories of modules.** All vector spaces considered in the present paper will be complex, and, unless otherwise specified, linear will always mean $\mathbb{C}$-linear. We denote by $\mathrm{Vect}_\mathbb{C}$ the category of vector spaces and linear maps, and by $\mathrm{gVect}_\mathbb{C}$ the category of graded vector spaces and graded linear maps.

For a small category $\mathcal{E}$, we by $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-*linearization* of $\mathcal{E}$. This is the $\mathbb{C}$-linear category that has the same objects as $\mathcal{E}$, but with morphism space $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ being the vector space with basis $\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$, and where composition of morphisms is defined by bilinearly extending the composition in $\mathcal{E}$.

We by $\mathrm{rad}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E})$ denote the radical of the category $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$, which is the two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$ defined by

$$\mathrm{rad}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E})(X, Y) = \{h \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}(X, Y) | 1_X - g \circ h \text{ is invertible for all } g \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}(Y, X)\}$$

We note in passing that, as in the case of algebras, the radical may also be defined as the intersection of the annihilators of all simple modules.

A (left) $\mathcal{E}$-module is a (covariant) $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor

$$F : \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E} \to \mathrm{Vect}_\mathbb{C}.$$

By $\mathcal{E}$-Mod we denote the category of $\mathcal{E}$-modules and natural transformations between these.

If $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, then a correspondingly graded $\mathcal{E}$-module is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor

$$F : \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E} \to \mathrm{gVect}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

which preserves the degrees of morphisms. We denote by $\mathcal{E}$-gMod the category of graded $\mathcal{E}$-modules and degree-preserving natural transformations between these.

We also define a right $\mathcal{E}$-module to be a contravariant $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor

$$G : \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E} \to \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Along the same lines one defines right graded $\mathcal{E}$-modules, as well as the categories Mod-$\mathcal{E}$ and gMod-$\mathcal{E}$ of ungraded and graded right $\mathcal{E}$-modules respectively.

We will often identity a left $\mathcal{E}$-module M with the vector space

$$\bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{E}} M(X)$$

together with the $\mathcal{E}$-action given by

$$f \cdot v = M(f)(v),$$

where the domain of $f \in \mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ is extended to the vector space M by setting $M(f)(M(Z)) = 0$ for summands $M(Z) \neq M(X)$. A similar identification may be done for right $\mathcal{E}$-modules.

For a left ideal $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{E}$ we obtain an obvious ideal $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$, and we may define the (possibly graded) left $\mathcal{E}$-module

$${}^{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{J}(X, _),$$

and similarly a right ideal $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{E}$ lets us define the right $\mathcal{E}$-module

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{J}(_, X).$$

Let n range over $\mathbb{Z}$ and X over the objects of $\mathcal{C}$. We define the *degree shift* functors

$$\langle n \rangle : \mathcal{C}\text{-gMod} \to \mathcal{C}\text{-gMod}$$

on objects $M \in \mathcal{C}$-gMod by

$$M\langle n \rangle(X)_m = M(X)_{n+m}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and in the obvious way on morphisms).



FIGURE 1. The Young lattice truncated after diagrams of size four.

3. Quiver of the category of injections between finite sets

3.1. **Description of the quiver of $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$.** Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be (the incidence category of) the Young lattice, i. e. the poset consisting of the Young diagrams, ordered by $\mu > \lambda$ if $\mu \to \lambda$.

Let Q be the (quiver given by the) Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{C}'$, i.e. the set of nodes consists of the objects of $\mathcal{C}'$, with an arrow going from node μ to node λ if and only if λ is obtained from μ by adding a node.

Finally, consider the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{\mu \xrightarrow{2} \lambda} \mathcal{C}'(\mu, \lambda)$, and let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{I}$.

Our first main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1. *The Gabriel quiver of $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ is Q, and $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$, i.e. $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$-Mod $\cong \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$-Mod.*

Note that $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}'$ and hence $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$ are positively graded (in the sense of [MOS09, Definition 1]), by the length of the corresponding paths in Q. From the proof of Theorem 1, it is easily seen that the following corollary holds as well.

Corollary 2. *We have the equivalence of categories $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$-gMod $\cong \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$-gMod.*

3.2. **The symmetric group and its simple representations.** According to classical results on the ordinary representation theory of finite groups (cf. e.g.

[JK81, Section 3.1]), there is a decomposition into two-sided ideals of the group algebra of S_n

$$\mathbb{C}[S_n] = \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n} \mathbb{C}[S_n]E_\mu,$$

where $E_\mu \in \mathbb{C}[S_n]$ is the *centrally primitive idempotent* corresponding to μ. As the terminology suggests, E_μ is an idempotent and belongs to the center of $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$, so that in particular it acts as the identity on $\mathbb{C}[S_n]E_\mu$. For an explicit formula for E_μ, cf. [JK81, formula (1), p. 188].[1]

We also have, in turn, decompositions of left $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$-modules

$$\mathbb{C}[S_n]E_\mu \cong \bigoplus_{t_\mu \in \mathrm{SYT}(\mu)} \mathbb{C}[S_n]e_{t_\mu},$$

where e_{t_μ} is the Young symmetrizer corresponding to t_μ, and $\mathbb{C}[S_n]e_{t_\mu} \cong S^\mu$ is simple.

3.3. **The symmetric group, $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ and idempotents.** We will study $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ using the representation theory of S_n. Note that we have obvious isomorphisms of algebras

$$\gamma_n : \mathbb{C}[S_n] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n}).$$

In particular we have that γ_n induces a left (right) $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$-module structure on any left (right) $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$-module M, namely the module

$$\bigoplus_{X \in \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}} M(X)$$

with left (right) multiplication by $s \in \mathbb{C}[S_n]$ given by applying $M(\gamma_n(s))$. Each $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})$ then inherits a $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]$-$\mathbb{C}[S_n]$-bimodule structure from ${}^{\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}}\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ and $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}^{\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}}$.

One may heuristically view injections in $\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})$ as permutations in S_{n+m}, but where we do not care about where the elements of $\underline{n+m}\backslash\underline{n}$ are sent. This is the same as sending the elements $\underline{n+m}\backslash\underline{n}$ "everywhere possible at once". Therefore we also have the isomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]$-$\mathbb{C}[S_n]$-bimodules

$$\iota_{n,m} : M_{n,m} := \langle \sum_{\pi \in S_{\underline{n+m}\backslash\underline{n}}} \sigma\pi | \sigma \in S_{n+m} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})$$
$$\sum_{\pi \in S_{\underline{n+m}\backslash\underline{n}}} \sigma\pi \mapsto \sigma_{|\underline{n}}.$$

Since $M_{n,m} \subset \mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]$, we obtain an embedding $\iota_{n,m}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m}) \to \mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]$.

[1]The alternative formula given as formula (2) in the same source appears to be erroneous, due to Young symmetrizers not being orthogonal in general.

We will study $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ via its idempotents, which correspond to ones in the symmetric group algebra: Write

$$e'_{t_\mu} = \gamma_n(e_{t_\mu})$$

and

$$E'_\mu = \gamma_n(E_\mu),$$

with $\mu \vdash n$ and $t \in \mathrm{SYT}(\mu)$. Every primitive idempotent $e \in \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ satisfying $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+1})e \neq 0$ must lie in $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n}) \cong \mathbb{C}[S_n]$ (cf. [Br11, p. 73 and Theorem 6.3.2] for details), and is therefore in fact of the form e'_{t_μ}. Similarly, the primitive idempotents $e \in \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ satisfying $e\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+1}) \neq 0$ are precisely the e'_{t_λ} with $\lambda \vdash n+1$.

3.4. **Simples and idecomposable projectives in $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$-Mod.** From the classification of primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ given in the previous subsection, it is immediate that the indecomposable projective $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$-modules are of the form

$$P_\mu = {}^{\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}}(\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}e'_{t^1_\mu}).$$

The simple top, denoted L_μ, of P_μ satisfies $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})L_\mu = 0$ for $m > 0$ and $L_\mu \cong S^\mu$ as $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$-modules.

Observe that the same notation will be used in a different way in Section 4.

Lemma 3. *Let $\nu = (m)$, and view $\mathbb{C}[S_n] \otimes \mathbb{C}[S_m]$ as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]$ in the obvious way. We have the $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]$-module isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]P_\mu \cong \mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]\otimes\mathbb{C}[S_m]} (S^\mu \otimes S^\nu).$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]P_\mu &= \mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]^{\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}}(\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}e'_{t^1_\mu}) \\
&\cong \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})e'_{t^1_\mu} \\
&\cong \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n})e'_{t^1_\mu} \\
&\cong \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} S^\mu \\
&\cong M_{n,m} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} S^\mu \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]\otimes\mathbb{C}[S_m]} (S^\mu \otimes S^\nu) \\
&\qquad m \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} s_\mu \mapsto m \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]\otimes\mathbb{C}[S_m]} (s_\mu \otimes s_\nu),
\end{aligned}$$

where S^ν is the trivial module and $s_\nu \in S^\nu$ is some fixed basis element. □

3.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.**

Proof of Theorem 1. We want need to find the nodes, arrows and relations of the quiver of $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$. The first two are a result of Brimacombe (cf. [Br11, Theorem 8.1.2]), whose proof we outline for the convenience of the reader.

The nodes are indexed by the primitive idempotents $e'_{t^1_\mu}$, which are in turn indexed by the Young diagrams μ.

The arrows of the Gabriel quiver of $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ correspond to a basis of $\operatorname{rad}(\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I})/\operatorname{rad}^2(\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I})$. It is obvious from our definition of the radical (cf. Subsection 2.3) that $\operatorname{rad}(\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}) = (\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I})_{\geq 1}$, and therefore $\operatorname{rad}(\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I})/\operatorname{rad}^2(\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}) = (\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I})_1$. Thus every arrow of the quiver corresponds to a basis element of some $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+1})$.

Let for the remainder of this proof $\mu \vdash n$ be fixed.

We have an obvious $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]$-$\mathbb{C}[S_n]$-bimodule isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+1}) \cong \mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}].$$

There is also the $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]$-module isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]e_{t^1_\mu} &\cong \mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} \mathbb{C}[S_n]e_{t^1_\mu} \\ ae_{t^1_\mu} &\leftrightarrow a \otimes e_{t^1_\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $\lambda \vdash n+1$, we have the $e_{t^1_\lambda}\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]e_{t^1_\lambda}$-module isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]}(\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]e_{t^1_\lambda}, \mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} \mathbb{C}[S_n]e_{t^1_\mu}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} e_{t^1_\lambda}(\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} \mathbb{C}[S_n]e_{t^1_\mu}) \\ \phi &\mapsto \phi(e_{t^1_\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

Altogether we see that the nodes of the Gabriel quiver of $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ may be identified with partitions of non-negative integers, with the number of arrows from μ to λ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(e'_{t^1_\lambda}\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+1})e'_{t^1_\mu}) &= \dim(e_{t^1_\lambda}\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]e_{t^1_\mu}) \\ &= \dim(e_{t^1_\lambda}(\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} \mathbb{C}[S_n]e_{t^1_\mu})) \\ &= \dim(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]}(\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]e_{t^1_\lambda}, \mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} \mathbb{C}[S_n]e_{t^1_\mu}) \\ &= \dim(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}]}(S^\lambda, \mathbb{C}[S_{n+1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} S^\mu). \end{aligned}$$

This number is by the Branching rule 1 if λ is obtainable from μ by adding a node, and 0 otherwise (cf. [Sa01, Theorem 2.8.3 p. 77]).

We now turn our attention to the relations of the quiver. Let $\lambda \vdash n+m$ be a Young diagram obtained from $\mu \vdash n$ by adding nodes. We begin by computing the number of L_λ-subquotients in P_μ. Left multiplication with E'_λ is the same as projection onto the L_λ-subspaces, so the maximal subquotient of P_μ isomorphic to a sum of copies of L_λ is given by $E'_\lambda P_\mu$. We claim that we have

$$E'_\lambda P_\mu \cong \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mu \xrightarrow{2} \lambda \\ L_\lambda, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Indeed, the number of simple subquotients L_λ in the $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$-module P_μ equals the number of simple subquotients S^λ in the $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]$-module P_μ. The projection of P_μ onto its non-zero $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]$-summands is given by $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]P_\mu$. Let $\nu = (m)$. By Lemma 3, we have the isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]$-modules

$$\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]P_\mu \cong \mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]\otimes\mathbb{C}[S_m]} (S^\mu \otimes S^\nu) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda' \vdash n+m} c^{\lambda'}_{\mu,\nu} S^{\lambda'},$$

where the *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients* $c^{\lambda'}_{\mu,\nu}$ may be calculated by the Littlewood-Richardson rule (cf. Theorem 4.9.4 of [Sa01]). The rule tells us that $c^{\lambda'}_{\mu,\nu}$ equals the number of semistandard tableaux t which have shape $\lambda'\backslash\mu$, content ν and whose reverse row word is a lattice permutation. But since the content diagram ν is a single row, every entry of t is a 1. Therefore only the semistandardness condition may be unsatisfied, and this will happen precisely when $\lambda\backslash\mu$ has at least two nodes in the same column (in which case $c^{\lambda'}_{\mu,\nu} = 0$), and when the condition is satisfied, t is uniquely determined (in which case $c^{\lambda'}_{\mu,\nu} = 1$). The claim follows.

Let next $\nu \vdash n+m$ be obtained from $\mu \vdash n$, and $\lambda \vdash n+m+l$ be obtained from ν by adding nodes, no two of which to the same column. We will show that the submodule of P_μ generated by L_ν contains L_λ as a subquotient. This will prove that in the quiver of $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$, the path from μ, through ν, to λ is non-zero. It suffices to show that

$$^{\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}}(\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}E'_\nu\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})e'_{t^1_\mu})$$

contains the subquotient L_λ.

Clearly we have the $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m+l}]$-module isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n+m}, \underline{n+m+l}) &\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]} \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n+m}, \underline{n+m+l})\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m}) \\ a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]} b &\mapsto ab.\end{aligned}$$

This restricts to the isomorphism of submodules

$$\begin{aligned}&E'_\lambda\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n+m}, \underline{n+m+l})E'_\nu\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m}) \\ &\cong E'_\lambda\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n+m}, \underline{n+m+l}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]} E'_\nu\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m}).\end{aligned}$$

Using this and the isomorphism (3.1), we get the $\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m+l}]$-module isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}&E'_\lambda{}^{\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}}(\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}E'_\nu\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})e'_{t^1_\mu}) \\ &\cong E'_\lambda\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n+m}, \underline{n+m+l})E'_\nu\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})e'_{t^1_\mu} \\ &\cong E'_\lambda\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n+m}, \underline{n+m+l}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]} E'_\nu\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n}, \underline{n+m})e'_{t^1_\mu} \\ &\cong E'_\lambda\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n+m}, \underline{n+m+l}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]} E'_\nu P_\mu \\ &\cong E'_\lambda\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}(\underline{n+m}, \underline{n+m+l}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[S_{n+m}]} L_\nu \\ &\cong E'_\lambda P_\nu \\ &\cong L_\lambda \neq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It remains to check that commutativity of different paths between the same vertices holds in our quiver. It suffices to check this for paths of length two, i. e. check that we may fix non-zero morphisms

$$\phi_{\lambda,\mu} : P_\lambda \to P_\mu$$

for all diagrams λ and μ, with λ obtained from μ by adding a node, so that for every diamond $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$ we have

$$\phi_{\lambda_2,\lambda_1} \circ \phi_{\lambda_4,\lambda_2} = \phi_{\lambda_3,\lambda_1} \circ \phi_{\lambda_4,\lambda_3}. \tag{3.3}$$

First note that no matter how we pick the morphisms $\phi_{\lambda,\mu}$, we will have

$$\phi_{\lambda_2,\lambda_1} \circ \phi_{\lambda_4,\lambda_2} = c\phi_{\lambda_3,\lambda_1} \circ \phi_{\lambda_4,\lambda_3},$$

for some non-zero $c \in \mathbb{C}$ (depending on λ and μ). This follows immediately from Schur's lemma together with the calculation (3.2), the latter showing that neither the LHS nor the RHS of (3.3) is zero.

Now define the $\phi_{\lambda,\mu}$ by extending to morphisms the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\lambda,\mu} : P_\lambda &\to P_\mu \\ v_\lambda &\mapsto v_{\lambda,\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

where the v_λ and $v_{\lambda,\mu}$ are defined according to the following algorithm.

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$.

Step 1: For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and every diagram $\lambda \vdash n$, fix any non-zero vector v_λ in $P_\lambda(\underline{n})$. Let $\mu_2 = (1)$ be the diagram that consists of one node.

Step k: For every λ with f_{λ,μ_k} already defined during a previous step, set

$$v_{\lambda,\mu_k} = f_{\lambda,\mu_k} \cdot v_{\mu_k}.$$

For every other λ that satisfies $\mu_k \to \lambda$ but $\mu_k \overset{2}{\not\to} \lambda$ take

$$v_{\lambda,\mu_k} \in S^\lambda \subset P_{\mu_k}$$

to be an arbitrary non-zero vector, and for each ν that satisfies $\lambda \to \nu$ but $\mu_k \overset{2}{\not\to} \nu$ define

$$f_{\nu,\lambda} \in E'_\nu \mathbb{C}\mathscr{I} E'_\lambda$$

to be the unique non-zero vector that satisfies

$$v_{\nu,\mu_k} = f_{\nu,\lambda} \cdot v_{\lambda,\mu_k}.$$

We want to make sure that this does not overwrite any previous definition of $f_{\nu,\lambda}$, i. e. that under the assumption that $f_{\nu,\lambda}$ was defined already during step $m < k$, then f_{ν,μ_k} and f_{λ,μ_k} were also defined during an earlier step. Let μ_l be the join of μ_k and μ_m in the Young lattice. It is easily seen that $l < k$, and $\mu_l \to \nu$ but $\mu_l \overset{2}{\not\to} \nu$. Hence f_{ν,μ_k} and f_{λ,μ_k} were defined already during step l.

Now let μ_{k+1} be the smallest diagram greater than μ_k according to the dominance order (cf. [Sa01, p. 68], though any linear order $\trianglelefteq$ satisfying that $|\lambda| < |\mu|$ implies $\lambda \trianglelefteq \mu$ will do).

We claim that for every diamond $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$ we have

$$f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_2} f_{\lambda_2,\lambda_1} = f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_3} f_{\lambda_3,\lambda_1}.$$

Indeed, let f_{λ_3,λ_4} have been defined during step m. Let μ_l be the join of μ_m and λ_2. Clearly $l \leq m$ with $\mu_l \to \lambda_1$, but $\mu_l \overset{2}{\not\to} \lambda_4$. Therefore

$$f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_2} f_{\lambda_2,\lambda_1} \cdot v_{\lambda_1,\mu_l} = f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_2} \cdot v_{\lambda_2,\mu_l} = v_{\lambda_4,\mu_l} = f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_3} \cdot v_{\lambda_3,\mu_l} = f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_3} f_{\lambda_3,\lambda_1} \cdot v_{\lambda_1,\mu_l},$$

which implies that

$$f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_2} f_{\lambda_2,\lambda_1} = f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_1} = f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_3} f_{\lambda_3,\lambda_1},$$

as claimed.

Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\lambda_2,\lambda_1} \circ \phi_{\lambda_4,\lambda_2} : P_{\lambda_4} \to P_{\lambda_1} \qquad & P_{\lambda_1} \leftarrow P_{\lambda_4} : \phi_{\lambda_3,\lambda_1} \circ \phi_{\lambda_4,\lambda_3} \\ v_{\lambda_4} \mapsto f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_2} f_{\lambda_2,\lambda_1} v_{\lambda_1} \quad & = f_{\lambda_4,\lambda_3} f_{\lambda_3,\lambda_1} v_{\lambda_1} \mapsfrom v_{\lambda_4}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. On the Koszulity of the category of injections between finite sets

All modules in this section will be considered as $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ones, with the grading obtained as described in subsections 1.1 and 2.3.

4.1. **Some additional notation.** Let us in this section denote by

$$P_\lambda = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}(\lambda, _)$$

the indecomposable projective object in $\mathcal{C}$-gMod that is generated in degree 0 and starting at diagram λ, and let L_λ be the (simple, one-dimensional) top of P_λ. The same notation was already used for $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$-modules in the previous section, but observe that if we forget about the grading, these different modules correspond to each other under the Morita equivalence given in Theorem 1.

As before, we let the (possibly decorated) letters λ, μ and ν denote Young diagrams, but when no confusion should occur we will by abuse of notation also let λ denote a subquotient, isomorphic to $L_\lambda\langle i \rangle$, of some module and for some i.

4.2. **Koszul categories and quadratic duals.** Following [MOS09], we define Koszul categories and their quadratic duals in analogy with the definitions for finite-dimensional, unital algebras.

A $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded category $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be *Koszul* if every simple object L in $\mathcal{E}$-gMod satisfying that $L_0 = L$ has a *linear resolution*, i.e. a graded projective resolution $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ such that each of its modules $\mathcal{P}^{-n}$ is generated by $(\mathcal{P}^{-n})_n$.

We note that the Young lattice is locally Cohen-Macaulay by property 5 in [BS05], so from the main result of [Po95] the following proposition immediately follows.

Proposition 4. *$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}'$ is Koszul.*

A positively graded (in the sense of [MOS09, Definition 1]) $\mathbb{C}$-linear category $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$ has a *quadratic dual* defined as follows. Let $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_i$ be the dense subcategory of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$ containing precisely the morphisms that are homogeneous in degree i. Then $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_i(_,_)$ is a $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_0$-bimodule in the natural way, and so is therefore $(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_i(_,_))^*$. Let $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}$ be the category with the same objects as $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$ and morphism spaces

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}(_,_) = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_0(_,_) \oplus (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_1(_,_))^* \oplus (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_1(_,_))^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_0} (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_1(_,_))^* \oplus \dots$$

For $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E})$, the multiplication map

$$m_{X,Y} : \bigoplus_{Z \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E})} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_1(Z,Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_0} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_1(X,Z) \to \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_2(X,Y)$$

gives rise to the dual map

$$\begin{aligned} m^*_{X,Y} :&(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_2(X,Y))^* \to (\bigoplus_{Z \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E})} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_1(Z,Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_0} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_1(X,Z))^* \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{Z \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E})} (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_1(X,Z))^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_0} (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}_1(Z,Y))^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the category ideal of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}$ generated by the $\mathrm{im}(m^*_{X,Y})$, and finally define the *quadratic dual* of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$ to be the category

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}^! = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{J}.$$

4.3. **Signs of quiver arrows.**

Lemma 5. *Each arrow, say from λ to μ of the Young quiver Q may be assigned a "sign" $s^\lambda_\mu = \pm 1$ such that for any diamond $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$ we have $s^{\lambda_2}_{\lambda_4} s^{\lambda_1}_{\lambda_2} = -s^{\lambda_3}_{\lambda_4} s^{\lambda_1}_{\lambda_3}$.*

Proof. Consider each diagram as embedded in a doubly infinite sequence of rows. Assign to the row of the empty diagram the "sign" 1. Assign signs 1 or -1 to the rows of the rest of the diagrams as follows. Going along an arrow of Q corresponds to adding an addable node to a diagram λ, thus obtaining another diagram μ. When the rows of λ have been given signs, let the rows of the new μ get the same signs, but with signs switched of the rows below the one where the node was added. Also let s^λ_μ be the sign of that row.

It is easily seen that this procedure assigns a well-defined sign to every arrow. For any diamond $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$, precisely one of the pairs $s^{\lambda_2}_{\lambda_4}$ and $s^{\lambda_1}_{\lambda_2}$, respective $s^{\lambda_3}_{\lambda_4}$ and $s^{\lambda_1}_{\lambda_3}$ will be of the same sign (namely the pair corresponding to adding the uppermost node last). The lemma follows. □

The above lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 8, but also gives us the following result.

Theorem 6. *There is an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}^! \cong (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C})^{op}$.*



FIGURE 2. The signs of quiver arrows (and diagram rows) assigned by the algorithm of Lemma 5 up to diagrams size four.

Proof. If we set $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$ in the definition of the quadratic dual from Subsection 4.2, then the category $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}$ is readily seen to be isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}')^{\mathrm{op}}$. Pick a basis for $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_1$ consisting of morphisms of the form $f_{\mu,\nu} \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_1(\mu,\nu)$ such that for each diamond $(\mu,\nu_1,\nu_2,\lambda)$ we have $f_{\nu_1,\lambda}f_{\mu,\nu_1} = f_{\nu_2,\lambda}f_{\mu,\nu_2}$. The relations $\mathrm{im}(m^*_{\mu,\lambda}) = 0$ imposed on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}$ in order to obtain $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}^!$ are of three different kinds depending on μ and λ.

If $\mu \xrightarrow{2} \lambda$, then $m_{\mu,\lambda} = 0$, so $m^*_{\mu,\lambda} = 0$ and $\dim(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}^!(\lambda,\mu)) = \dim(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}'(\mu,\lambda)) = 1$.

If $\mu^T \xrightarrow{2} \lambda^T$, then $m_{\mu,\lambda}$ is an isomorphism, and $m^*_{\mu,\lambda}$ is surjective, hence $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}^!(\lambda,\mu) = 0$.

Finally, assume that neither of the above cases holds. Then there is a diamond $(\mu, \nu_1, \nu_2, \lambda)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{dom}(m_{\mu,\lambda}) &= \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C})} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_1(\nu, \lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_0} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_1(\mu, \nu) \\ &= (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_1(\nu_1, \lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_0} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_1(\mu, \nu_1)) \oplus (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_1(\nu_2, \lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_0} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_1(\mu, \nu_2)).\end{aligned}$$

Because we have commutativity of morphisms between the same objects in $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$, we may pick bases $\{f_{\nu_1,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_0} f_{\mu,\nu_1}, f_{\nu_2,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_0} f_{\mu,\nu_2}\}$ in $\mathrm{dom}(m_{\mu,\lambda})$ and $\{f_{\nu_1,\lambda} f_{\mu,\nu_1}\}$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_2(\mu, \lambda)$ for which

$$m_{\mu,\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus in the dual bases $\{f^*_{\mu,\nu_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_0} f^*_{\nu_1,\lambda}, f^*_{\mu,\nu_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_0} f^*_{\nu_2,\lambda}\}$ and $\{(f_{\nu_1,\lambda} f_{\mu,\nu_1})^*\}$

$$m^*_{\mu,\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore we obtain the anticommutativity relation

$$f^*_{\mu,\nu_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_0} f^*_{\nu_1,\lambda} + f^*_{\mu,\nu_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_0} f^*_{\nu_2,\lambda} = 0$$

in $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}^!$.

Then clearly the functor

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C} &\to \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}^! \\ \lambda &\mapsto \lambda^T \\ f_{\mu,\lambda} &\mapsto s^\mu_\lambda f^*_{\mu^T,\lambda^T}, \end{aligned}$$

where the signs s^μ_λ are picked as in Lemma 5, is a duality of categories that is bijective on objects. The desired result follows. □

We note that a very similar proof gives us also the following description of the quadratic dual of the (linearized) Young lattice.

Proposition 7. *There is an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}'^! \cong (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{J})^{op}$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is the ideal generated by all $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}'(\mu, \lambda)$ with $\mu \xrightarrow{2} \lambda$ or $\mu^T \xrightarrow{2} \lambda^T$.*

4.4. **Linear resolutions for simple $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$-modules.** We will in this section construct explicit linear resolutions of the simple $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$-modules.

Fix some diagram ξ. Let I_i be the set of diagrams that can be obtained by adding $-i$ nodes, no two of which to the same row, to ξ. Define

$$\mathcal{P}^i = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in I_i} P_\lambda\langle i \rangle, & \text{for } i \leq 0 \\ 0, & \text{for } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Fix non-zero elements $v^{\lambda,i}_\lambda \in P_\lambda\langle i \rangle(\lambda)$. Consider a diagram μ. If μ is a subquotient of $P_\lambda\langle i \rangle$, we have a uniquely determined $x \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda, \mu)$. Otherwise set $x = 0$. Finally define $v^{\lambda,i}_\mu = x \cdot v^{\lambda,i}_\lambda$, which is a basis element of the subquotient μ in $P_\lambda\langle i \rangle$, provided that μ is a subquotient of P_λ, and 0 otherwise.



FIGURE 3. The map $\pi_{i,\lambda}$ maps $\mathcal{P}^i$ to the component $P_\lambda\langle i+1\rangle$ only. In the picture, only μ_1 and μ_2 occur as subquotients of $P_\lambda\langle i+1\rangle$ at the degree i level.

Define the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{i,\lambda} : \mathcal{P}^i &\to \mathcal{P}^{i+1}\\ v_\mu^{\mu,i} &\mapsto s_\mu^\lambda v_\mu^{\lambda,i+1},\end{aligned}$$

where the sign s_μ^λ is the one defined in Lemma 5, and also

$$\delta^i = \begin{cases}\bigoplus_{\lambda\in I_{i+1}} \pi_{i,\lambda}, & \text{for } i<0\\ 0, & \text{for } i\geq 0.\end{cases}$$

Theorem 8. *The modules $\mathcal{P}^i$ and maps δ^i form a linear resolution, $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$, of L_ξ.*

Proof. We divide the proof into several parts, the first two of which are obvious.

(i) *If $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a resolution, then it is a linear one.*

(ii) *The cohomology at position 0 is L_ξ.*

It remains to consider the cohomology positions less than 0. Fix therefore for the remainder of the proof some $i \leq -2$.

(iii) *$\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a complex.*
It suffices to check that $\pi_{i+1,\lambda} \circ \delta^i(v_\nu^{\nu,i}) = 0$ for all $\nu \in I_i$ and $\lambda \in I_{i+2}$. It will never be the case that ν is obtained from λ by adding 2 nodes to the same row, by construction of $\mathcal{P}^i$.

If ν can not be obtained from λ at all by adding 2 nodes, or if ν is obtained from λ by adding 2 nodes to the same column, then the result is immediate because there is no subquotient ν in P_λ. In the remaining case, there exist unique $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I_{i+1}$ that are subquotients of P_λ such that $(\lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2, \nu)$ is a

diamond. Then

$$\pi_{i+1,\lambda} \circ \delta^i(v_\nu^{\nu,i}) = s_{\mu_1}^\lambda s_\nu^{\mu_1} v_\nu^{\lambda,i+2} + s_{\mu_2}^\lambda s_\nu^{\mu_2} v_\nu^{\lambda,i+2} = 0,$$

using Lemma 5.

We want to show that we conversely have $\ker(\delta^{i+1}) \subset \mathrm{im}(\delta^i)$, so that $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is exact at positions less than 0. We first show only a partial statement.

(iv) *We have* $\ker(\delta^{i+1}) \cap (\mathcal{P}^{i+1})_{-i} \subset \mathrm{im}(\delta^i)$.
Note that $\ker(\delta^{i+1}) \cap (\mathcal{P}^{i+1})_{-i}$ is spanned by vectors of the form

$$v_\nu = \sum_{\mu \in I_{i+1}} k_\mu v_\nu^{\mu,i+1},$$

where ν is some diagram obtained by adding a node to some $\mu \in I_{i+1}$. Assuming that $v_\nu \neq 0$, we get two cases depending on whether $\nu \in I_i$ or not.

If $\nu \notin I_i$, then there must be precisely one $\mu \in I_{i+1}$ such that $v_\nu^{\mu,i+1} \neq 0$: By assumption there is at least one such μ, which must be obtained from ν by removing a node from a row where ν has two more nodes than ξ. But if there were to exist more than one such row, then $\mu \notin I_{i+1}$. Therefore the row and hence μ is uniquely determined. That

$$\delta^{i+1}(v_\nu) = 0$$

then implies that for all $\lambda \in I_{i+2}$ with $\lambda \to \mu$ also

$$0 = \pi_{i+1,\lambda}(k_\mu v_\nu^{\mu,i+1}) = s_\mu^\lambda k_\mu v_\nu^{\lambda,i+1},$$

so that $k_\mu = 0$ and hence $v_\nu = 0 \in \mathrm{im}(\delta^i)$.

If on the other hand $\nu \in I_i$, again fix some $\mu \in I_{i+1}$ with $v_\nu^{\mu,i+1} \neq 0$. For any other $\mu' \in I_{i+1}$ with $\mu' \to \nu$, there is a diamond $(\lambda, \mu, \mu', \nu)$. Then

$$\delta^{i+1}(v_\nu) = 0$$

implies

$$0 = \pi_{i+1,\lambda}(v_\nu) = k_\mu s_\mu^\lambda s_\nu^\mu v_\nu^{\lambda,i+2} + k_{\mu'} s_{\mu'}^\lambda s_\nu^{\mu'} v_\nu^{\lambda,i+2},$$

so if $v_\nu^{\mu',i+1} \neq 0$, we get

$$k_{\mu'} = -\frac{k_\mu s_\mu^\lambda s_\nu^\mu}{s_{\mu'}^\lambda s_\nu^{\mu'}}.$$

In particular, v_ν is unique up to a scalar, hence must be a scalar multiple of $\delta^i(v_\nu^{\nu,i})$.

The previous part and the next part together imply that $\ker(\delta^{i+1}) \subset \mathrm{im}(\delta^i)$.

(v) $\ker(\delta^{i+1})$ *is generated in degree* $-i$.
For an arbitrary $\lambda \in I_{i+2}$, let $I_{i+1}^\lambda \subset I_{i+1}$ be the subset consisting of diagrams



FIGURE 4. The map $\varphi'_{i,\lambda}$ maps $\bigoplus_{\mu\in I_i^\lambda} P_\mu\langle i\rangle$ to $P_\lambda\langle i+1\rangle$. In the picture we have $I_i^\lambda = \{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$.

obtainable from λ by adding one node. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}^{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{i+1,\lambda}} & \mathcal{P}^{i+2} \\ \text{projection}\downarrow & \nearrow_{\varphi'_{i+1,\lambda}} & \uparrow \\ \bigoplus_{\mu\in I_{i+1}^\lambda} P_\mu\langle i+1\rangle & \xrightarrow[\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}]{} & (\bigoplus_{\mu\in I_{i+1}^\lambda} P_\mu\langle i+1\rangle)/(\ker(\varphi'_{i+1,\lambda})_{-i}) \end{array}$$

The desired result follows if we can show that $\operatorname{im}(\varphi'_{i+1,\lambda}) = \operatorname{im}(\varphi_{i+1,\lambda})$.

Clearly $\varphi'_{i+1,\lambda}$ is injective in degree $-i-1$, so in particular we have that as $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$-modules

$$\operatorname{im}(\varphi'_{i+1,\lambda}) = \langle v_\mu^{\lambda,i+2} | \mu \in I_{i+1}\rangle \subset P_\lambda\langle i+2\rangle.$$

Thus $\operatorname{im}(\varphi'_{i+1,\lambda})$ will contain as subquotients precisely one copy of each diagram ζ satisfying $\mu \to \zeta$ but $\lambda \overset{2}{\not\to} \zeta$ for some $\mu \in I_{i+1}^\lambda$. We will show that $\operatorname{im}\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}$ contains no more subquotients than this. The argument is further subdivided into three parts.

(a) We will below have use of the following argument. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I_{i+1}^\lambda$ be different with ν a diagram satisfying $\mu_1 \to \nu$ and $\mu_2 \to \nu$. Let further $x \in \mathcal{C}(\mu_1, \nu)$ and $y \in \mathcal{C}(\mu_2, \nu)$. There is a diamond $(\lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda')$, and we

have in $\mathcal{C}$ the commutative diagram



Using that $P_\lambda\langle i+2\rangle$ has only a single copy of λ' as a subquotient, and that $v_{\lambda'}^{\mu_1,i+1}, v_{\lambda'}^{\mu_2,i+1} \in (\mathcal{P}^{i+1})_{-i}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_\nu^{\mu_1,i+1}) &= z\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda'}^{\mu_1,i+1})\\ &= z\varphi'_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda'}^{\mu_1,i+1})\\ &= z\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda'}^{\lambda,i+2}\\ &= z\varphi'_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda'}^{\mu_2,i+1})\\ &= z\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda'}^{\mu_2,i+1})\\ &= \varphi_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_\nu^{\mu_2,i+1}).\end{aligned}$$

(b) In particular we get from part (a) that if ν is a subquotient of both $P_{\mu_1}\langle i+1\rangle$ and $P_{\mu_2}\langle i+1\rangle$, then these are identified by $\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}$, so that $\mathrm{im}(\varphi_{i+1,\lambda})$ contains at most one copy of ν as a subquotient.

(c) Let $\lambda \xrightarrow{2} \nu$, with ν having at least two more nodes in column k than λ. *Then* $\mathrm{im}(\varphi_{i+1,\lambda})$ *has no subquotient* ν.
In order to show this, we show that whenever there is a $\mu_1 \in I_{i+1}^\lambda$ such that ν is a subquotient of $P_{\mu_1}\langle i+1\rangle$, we have $\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_\nu^{\mu_1,i+1}) = 0$. For ν to be a subquotient of $P_{\mu_1}\langle i+1\rangle$ it is necessary that μ_1 is obtained from λ by adding a node to column k. One of two cases will hold.
The first is that it is possible to add to μ_1 a node to column k and thereby obtain another diagram λ'. Let $a \in \mathcal{C}(\mu_1, \lambda')$. Then, using that $v_{\lambda'}^{\mu_1,i+1} \in (\mathcal{P}^{i+1})_{-i}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_\nu^{\mu_1,i+1}) = a\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda'}^{\mu_1,i+1}) =\\ a\varphi'_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda'}^{\mu_1,i+1}) = a\mathbb{C}v_{\lambda'}^{\lambda,i+1} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The second case is that one has to add to μ_1 at least one node to a column to the left of k before more nodes can be added to k. Let μ_2 be obtained by adding one such node to λ. Thus $\mu_1 \to \nu$ and $\mu_2 \to \nu$. Note that ν is not a subquotient of $P_{\mu_2}\langle i+1\rangle$. Hence we may again apply part (a) to obtain

$$\varphi_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_\nu^{\mu_1,i+1}) = \varphi_{i+1,\lambda}(\mathbb{C}v_\nu^{\mu_2,i+1}) = 0,$$

and we are done.



FIGURE 5. Illustration of the diagrams of step (c). If we to column k add node b_1 to λ we obtain μ_1. In the first case considered, b_2 belongs already to λ, and the diagram λ' is obtained by adding node b_3. In the second case, node b_2 does not belong to λ, but is perhaps the node added to λ in order to obtain μ_2.

□

The linear resolution given in Theorem 8 of course proves constructively the following corollary.

Corollary 9. *The category $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}$ is Koszul.*

Also using Corollary 2 one obtains the following.

Corollary 10. *The category $\mathbb{C}\mathscr{I}$ is Koszul.*

REFERENCES

[BGS96] Beilinson, A., Ginzburg, V. and Soergel, W., *Koszul duality patterns in representation theory.* J. Amer. Math. Soc., 9, no. 2, p. 473-527, 1996.

[BS05] Björner, A. and Stanley, R. P., *An analogue of Young's lattice for compositions.* Preprint, `https://people.kth.se/~bjorner/files/composet.pdf`, 2005.

[Br11] Brimacombe, B., *The Representation Theory of the Incidence Algebra of an Inverse Semigroup.* Library and Archives Canada, Ottawa, 2011.

[CEFN14] Church, T., Ellenberg, J. and Farb, B., *FI-modules over Noetherian rings.* Geom. Topol., 18, p. 2951-2984, 2014.

[CEF15] Church, T., Ellenberg, J. and Farb, B., *FI-modules and stability for representations of symmetric groups.* Duke Math. J., 164, no. 9, p. 1833-1910, 2015.

[GL16] Gan, W. L. and Li, L., *Koszulity of directed categories in representation stability theory.* Preprint, arXiv:1411.5308v3, 2016.

[JK81] James, G. D. and Kerber, A., *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Reading, 1981

[MS12] Margolis, S. and Steinberg, B., *Quivers of monoids with basic algebras.* Compositio Mathematica, 148, no. 5, p. 1516-1560, 2012.

[MOS09] Mazorchuk, V., Ovsienko, S. and Stroppel, C., *Quadratic duals, Koszul dual functors, and applications*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 361, no. 3, p. 1129-1172, 2009.

[Po95] Polo, P., *On Cohen-Macaulay posets, Koszul algebras and certain modules associated to Schubert varieties*. B. Lond. Math. Soc., 27, p. 425-434, 1995.

[Pr70] Priddy, S.B., *Koszul resolutions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 152, p. 39-60, 1970.

[Sa01] Sagan, B. E., *The Symmetric Group: Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[SS16] Sam, S. and Snowden, A., *GL-equivariant modules over polynomial rings in infinitely many variables*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 368 p. 1097-1158, 2016.

[St16] Stein, I., *The representation theory of the monoid of all partial functions on a set and related monoids as EI-category algebras*. J. Algebra, 450 p. 549-569, 2016.